

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 JASON LEE SUTTON,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 STEPHEN SINCLAIR, *et al.*,

13 Defendants.

Case No. C19-1119-BJR-MLP

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION TO FILE OVER-LENGTH
BRIEF

14 This is a civil rights action proceeding under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter comes before
15 the Court at the present time on Plaintiff's motion to file an over-length brief in response to
16 Defendants' pending motion for summary judgment. (Dkt. # 43.) Plaintiff requests in his motion
17 that his accompanying responsive brief (dkt. # 44), which is 53 pages in length, be accepted for
18 filing. (*Id.*) Plaintiff insists that, despite his best efforts, he was unable to shorten his brief to
19 comply with the 24-page limit as set forth in Local Civil Rule (LCR) 7(e)(3). (Dkt. # 43 at 3.)

20 Defendants, in their reply brief in support of their motion for summary judgment, note
21 their objection to Plaintiff filing such a lengthy brief, but then proceed to address Plaintiff's
22 arguments as necessary within the authorized page limit. (Dkt. # 41 at 2.) While the Court agrees

23 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION TO FILE OVER-LENGTH
BRIEF - 1

1 with Defendants that 53 pages is excessive given the relatively straightforward nature of this
2 case, Defendants' summary judgment motion is now fully briefed and the Court deems it most
3 expeditious to accept Plaintiff's responsive brief as filed so that it may move on to disposition of
4 Defendants' motion.

5 Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff's motion to file an over-length brief (dkt. # 43) is
6 GRANTED. The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff, to counsel for
7 Defendants, and to the Honorable Barbara J. Rothstein.

8 DATED this 7th day of July, 2020.

9
10 

11 MICHELLE L. PETERSON
12 United States Magistrate Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22